

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,095	02/18/2004	Arthur James Harvey	16-294 6329	
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER	
WATTS HOFFMANN CO., L.P.A.			TANG, SON M	
Ste. 1750 1100 Superior Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		⊕				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/781,095	HARVEY, ARTHUR JAMES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son M. Tang	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) \square objected to by the R drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaw [US 6,490,543] in view of Dwyer et al. [US. 4,688,117; Dwyer].

Regarding to claim 1: Jaw discloses an hour meter having a display 108 that provides a visual indication of a total time an engine has operated and a visual indication of an operation time remaining in a predetermined service time interval, comprising:

-a display 108, includes level bar 112 (time used) and level bar 114 (remaining time), and a predetermined service interval (life expectancy time) met by a whole length of level bar 112 (from 0 to 1000), and a first optical state (met by a shaded area) and a second optical state (met by a clear area) of indicator 112, whereby the first optical state (shaded) would obviously to increment toward second optical state (clear) when a given portion of the predetermined service time interval has elapsed [as shown in Fig. 4-5, col. 1, lines 25-33 and col. 7, lines 15-25], Jaw does not specifically disclose a display drive, however, in an electrical art it is common for a display uses display driver to control the output of display. Therefore, Examiner takes Official Notice that a display drive is known in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/781,095

Art Unit: 2632

of display system. Jaw does not specifically disclose a plurality of segments on the display that are selectively displayed in a first optical state or second optical state. Dwyer teaches a display 44 comprises a plurality segments (bar graph display) that selectively displayed in a first optical state or second optical state, corresponding to the length of the tape transported (recorded), wherein each segment represent a specific length (time) [as shown in Fig. 1 and col. 8, lines 34-45]. It would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use the segments display of Dwyer in the display of Jaw, for the purpose of easy to recognize the amount of time on the display, since each segment represents a specific amount of time.

Page 3

Regarding to claim 2: Jaw and Dwyer discloses all the limitation as described above, except for not specifically mention that the first segment remains in the second optical state while said remainder of the predetermined service time interval elapses. As it shown in Fig 5 of Jaw, the life used indication 112 has two states (sides) (shaded and clear), whereby the shade side will increase toward the clear side when the predetermined service time interval elapses, thus, the first segment (used time) remains in the second optical state (shaded side). It would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to recognize that the shaded side is constitutes of the first segment that remains in the second optical state, which indicates the time used.

Regarding to claims 3 and 6: Jaw and Dwyer discloses all the limitation as described above, Jaw further discloses that wherein the first optical state (used life

Art Unit: 2632

level) is being reset when a predetermined service time interval elapses (serviced/replaced time) [as cited in col. 3, line 1-12].

Regarding to claims 4-5: Jaw and Dwyer discloses all the limitation as described above, Dwyer further teaches that all the segments 44 are displayed and remain in the second optical state for (used time) after the predetermined service time interval elapsed, to provide a visual indication that service is due [see Fig. 1, col. 8, lines 42-47].

Regarding to claim 7: Jaw and Dwyer discloses all the limitations as described above, Jaw further discloses that the remaining life is at such a level of service, after serviced the lifeometer resets the remaining/used life levels to an appropriate values for the serviced (next service time interval) [col. 3, lines 2-8], that is constitutes of the claimed of a next service time interval after the service time interval has elapsed.

Regarding to claims 11-18: The claimed method steps are interpreted and rejected as rejection stated above.

3. Claims 8-10, 19-22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaw in view of Dwyer et al. in claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamazaki et al. [US 5,408,224; Yamazaki].

Regarding to claim 8: Jaw and Dwyer discloses all the limitations as described above, except not specifically mention a reset switch for manually resetting the predetermined time interval and the display. Yamazaki teaches a monitoring device comprises a resetting switch G that resets the time and display [as shown in Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 42-50]. It would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/781,095

Art Unit: 2632

of the claimed invention, to have a manual reset switch as taught by Yamazaki into the combination above, for the benefit of convenience to reset whenever the user like or earlier service reset which is being done prior to the predetermined interval time elapses.

Regarding to claim 9: The manual reset switch has been described in claim 8 above. Jaw further stated that the time remaining life level and used life level are being reset after the system/part is serviced [col. 3, lines 2-9]. Thus, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skilled in the art to recognize that the reset of Jaw is constitutes of automatically resets the display as claimed.

Regarding to claims 10 and 23: Jaw discloses an hour meter having a display 108 that provides a visual indication of a total time an engine has operated and a visual indication of an operation time remaining in a predetermined service time interval, comprising:

-a display 108, includes level bar 112 (time used) and level bar 114 (remaining time), and a predetermined service interval (life expectancy time) met by a whole length of level bar 112 (from 0 to 1000), and a first optical state (met by a shaded area) and a second optical state (met by a clear area) of indicator 112, whereby the first optical state (shaded) would obviously to increment toward second optical state (clear) when a given portion of the predetermined service time interval has elapsed [as shown in Fig. 4-5, col. 1, lines 25-33 and col. 7, lines 15-25], Jaw does not specifically disclose a display drive, however, in an electrical art it is common for a display uses display driver to control the output of display. Therefore, Examiner takes Official Notice that a display drive is known in the art of display system. Jaw does not specifically disclose a plurality of segments on the

Art Unit: 2632

display that are selectively displayed in a first optical state or second optical state. Dwyer teaches a display 44 comprises a plurality segments (bar graph display) that selectively displayed in a first optical state or second optical state, corresponding to the length of the tape transported (recorded), wherein each segment represent a specific length (time) [as shown in Fig. 1 and col. 8, lines 34-45]. It would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use the segments display of Dwyer in the display of Jaw, for the purpose of easy to recognize the amount of time on the display, since each segment represents a specific amount of time.

Jaw further stated that the time remaining life levels and used life level is being reset after the system/part is serviced [col. 3, lines 2-9]. Thus, it is obvious of ordinary skill artisan to recognize that the lifeometer reset of Jaw is constitutes of automatically resets the segments display, without actuates manual reset switch. However, Jaw lacks of specifically mention a manual reset switch for manually resetting the predetermined time interval and the display. Yamazaki teaches a monitoring device comprises a reset switch G that resets the time and display [as shown in Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 42-50]. Therefore, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention, to have a manually reset switch as taught by Yamazaki into the combination above, for the benefit of convenience to reset the predetermined interval time and the display at any time the user desired, i.e. user resets the system at earlier serviced or prior to the predetermined interval time elapses.

Regarding to claims 19-22: The claimed method steps are interpreted and rejected as rejection stated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,095 Page 7

Art Unit: 2632

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pollack [US 5,153,580], Comeau et al. [US 4,912,458], Derryberry et al. [US 6,225,907], Hansen et al. [US 4,539,632], Yamamoto et al. [US 6,141,629] and Paine [US 4,617,639].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son M. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-2962. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/9 First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571)272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son Tang

homas J. Mullen, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2632